

Storm Chasers (Ed Stanley)

COMPREHENSION AND ANALYSIS

<p>1 NOT YET WITHIN EXPECTATIONS The work is incomplete or inaccurate. Main ideas are not identified. Needs support to make simple notes. Few connections between old and new information.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• little evidence of understanding
<p>2 MEETS EXPECTATIONS (MINIMALLY) Work is accurate with little detail or vague. Identifies most main ideas. Records some information in notes, missing much. Makes some connections between new and old information.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• some responses missing• undeveloped, inaccurate, vague responses• vague or unrelated response to wanting to be a storm chaser and/or why this information is important in BC
<p>3 FULLY MEETS EXPECTATIONS Work is clear, detailed and complete. Identifies main ideas. Makes organized notes and may make some inferences. Connects and gives some explanation between new and known information.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• responses are generally complete• misses one question; especially one that requires interpretation (i.e., wanting to be a storm chaser and why this is important information in BC)
<p>4 EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS Work is precise, accurate and thorough. Restates main ideas in own words. Makes organized and complete notes. Makes inferences. Logically connects new and known information and may question or evaluate new information.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• all responses complete and thoughtful• uses precise detail in responses (with map reading and with Faidley's camera)• provides support for reasoning in wanting to be a storm chaser and why information is important in BC