

Thrills and Chills (Jeff Savage)

COMPREHENSION AND ANALYSIS

<p>1 NOT YET WITHIN EXPECTATIONS Work is inaccurate, vague, incomplete. May confuse main and supporting information. Needs help making notes. Unable to infer. Difficulty connecting new and known information.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• little evidence of understanding
<p>2 MEETS EXPECTATIONS (MINIMALLY) Work is generally accurate, identifying most main ideas and supporting details. Makes simple notes. Some inferences are illogical or unsupported. Simple comparisons between new and known information.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• some responses missing• lacks detail and support in responses• few details in Venn diagram• diagram of coaster has few labels, mostly from text diagram
<p>3 FULLY MEETS EXPECTATIONS Work is accurate and complete. Restates main ideas in own words. Makes accurate, organized notes. Supports inferences, if asked. Compares new and old information.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• logical, complete responses, including specific, relevant details• accurate, detailed notes on Venn diagram• some reasoning on surprise/interest on time line• next improvement tends to be more of the same
<p>4 EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS Work is precise, thorough, perhaps even insightful. Explains connections among main ideas. Makes accurate notes in appropriate form. Supports inferences. Compares new and old information and shows insight.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• unusually detailed and insightful• moves beyond the obvious in Venn diagram• diagram of coaster includes specific evidence from written text• in predicting a change, describes a real change